*JS-44 (3cv t1/0/)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

he JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filling and sentee of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as united by local rules of court. This form approved by the fud vial Conference of the Original Region 1974, is required to the use of the Cerk of Court for the purpose of initing the civil documents. (SEB INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERS: OF THE FORM)

7.10	ne viiii acexor incor i		- KE 1124.3.1. (11 111									
(a)	PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	1						
	Paul Proudfoot and Christy Proudfoot, la/w				Harbou Group, Ltd.							
(b)	County of Residence of First Listed Contesy He, PA Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed December 51, Louis, MO							
	O	6 KULDAY IN U. S. DI YEALI <u>eld C</u>	ASES)		(IN U.S. PLADNING CASES ONLY)							
						7.10 VIV. (TION CASES, USE THE L	OCATION I	or nim		
9	Attorneya (Fir.mName, Address, and Telephone Namber)				Attixings (If Known)							
		z, Esquire Phone: 215-6 , Philadelphia, PA 1910			Brauley D. Remick, Bsquire Phone: 215-575-2732 1845 Wulmut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103							
i, DAS	IS OF JURISDICT	FION Macean "X" is One	Box Orly)		TITZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place An "X" in One Box for "lain.iff and Pro Diversity Cases Only) One Box for Deliandanty							
!1.0.8	Sovernment	□ 5 Federal Question		! '	FO. DIGHTSHY CARCA CALLS	PTF	DEF	Offic Box	(INF DCICIE	PIF	DZF.	
. '	MatmcTF	(C.S. Government Nar	я Ратту)	Ch	izor of "his Slate	M I		Incorporated on Princips of Business in This State			□4	
	Guvernment	□ 4. Diversity		Ci.	iver of Another	1	□ 2	Incorporated and Princip		□ 5	2 5	
'	le fee Jun.	(Indicates Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)	Sta	to .			of Business in Another 9	State			
					icos of Subjust of a	□ 3	□ \$	Pomign Nation		□6	⊏ 6	
					rigi County							
		ace an "X" in One Dox Only	TOTO SERVICE S	30000000	SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	essa dense	relessass	areaster dissortation (2000) 2000	Section 2 minutes	rin/orbisco	Tarte (200	
		PERSONAL INJURY				<u> </u>						
+ 1 10 fta - 120 Mi		210 Airplane	PERSONAL IN 362 Personal In		☐ 610 Agriculeurs ☐ 620 Ollier Food &	. Drug		09 Appen 08 USC 166 23 W.Butawal	□ 400 St		touriounicut	
	Her Acc	□ 45 Ampline Product	Wed Malp	метке	LI 625 Drug Notated	<u> ಅಗಡಾಗಿ</u>	. -	28 USC 157	430 Ba	nika sind		
	gatialde unterment covery of Overpayment	Listriby 220 Assault, Libel &	☑ NS Personal Ing Product		ofProperty 71 USC 881			☐ 450 Ccc			Consideración Depostación	
80 m	morement of Judgment	Stander	LI 368 Asbestes Fe	ISOTIAL .	LJ 640 K R & Truck			□ 830 Copyrights □ 470 Rac			Racketser hillumized and	
	alicare Act covery of Defaulted	Employers'	figury Pro Liability	duct	☐ 650 Airline Regs ☐ 660 Occupational			70 Pritonit	400 C		gunizations Coedi:	
	mdeur Leans	Liability	PERSONALPRO		Safety, Health		⊔×	of Taiderank	L 490 Ca			
	Excl. Veteranz)	☐ 340 Marine	☐ 370 Other France		□ 090 Odice	See See See S	Contraction of		□ 810 Se			
	covery of Overpayment "Versian", Rene fils	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Le ☐ 380 Ciner Person		710 Taia Labor Se			(#31 686\(1000)\(1000)\(1000) 61 LLA (1095 II)		conuest. cohaago	ommedities/	
1160 St	xkholder's Stits	☐ 390 Moto: Vehicle	Property D	HIRESO	Act	عامانا		62 Black Lung (923)	□ 875 Ct	istomen (
	ler Commut wasax Product Liability	☐ 350 Moto, Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 383 Productly De Product 1.3		☐ 720 1.zhoz/Mgmt.		🗆 🎖	REDINACIONAN		IZ (JNC) A Ang Pinto	410 Jary Actions	
192 Fr		160 Other Personal	Fr.xdet	Zi ii i Zy	□ 730 LiftonMen t 1 Δ Disclosire		#405g	(9) 64 \$\$(1) 15de XVI	□ 891 A)			
		Injury			□ 740 Pailway Labo	n/Ast	□ 8	65 R81 (405(g))			Schilisation Act stal Mutters	
):03 -5 -6	or on parameters 2, 2,000, 4	Modweńnickieskowiek	literanista arabitat di Alberta	LANGE COLOR	☐ 790 Other Labor I ☐ 791 Empl. Red to			HERRE XXXXIX			ocation AX	
	wichester de Liberty (nd Condemnation	<u>βανικουσια Vaccing</u> □ 441 Varing	El SIG Minters to		Spourity Act		Plair	70 Taxes (U.S. mili			Information	
	теските	. 🗆 442 Empliyment	Sentence					o: Defendant)	_	ter meal of Fe	e Determination	
	ut, 12992 & Ejectrioni na to Lund	☐ 443 ttous ag / Accommedations	Habear Corpus ☐ 5.0 General	5 :			" "	71 JRB - 1556 Farry 25 USC 7609	i t	Judo: Eq	ial Access	
	n Printing Lability	☐ 444 Welfare	535 Death Penn	lty .					950 Cd	lo funtico austitutio		
290 Al	Ober Real Puperty	· □ 445 Aurus. · w/Disabilitics—	□ 540 Mandamus /							اهالا ماحاة		
		i Employment	☐ 599 Civit Rights ☐ 539 Prison Cond									
		□ 146 Ame.										
		w/usatatics— Other										
	.	☐ 440 Other Civ'l Rights										
ORI		Thin One Bax Cully)		٦.,				-			eal to District	
ינ ; 			encoded form ppellate Court		teinstaned on 5 Responsed		eferred fe ier distric iliy)			J.	udge from Augistrate udgment	
		Cite the 105, Civil State	ite under which yo	ıı şıve filin	g (Do not eite juris	dictions	l statub	es unless diversity):				
I. CA	USE OF ACTION	28 13.C. Section 1441 Brief description of east	BO.									
		Proxidets I ability sectors		er machin	c.							
	TI- REQUESTED IN CHECK IF TITIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK VES only if dericonded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND Ves No											
HD RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions) IUEGE Legrome D Davis										•		
ALE					DENEY OF REQUE	2		_				
August 24, 2009												
OR OP	FICE USE ONLY		2	ښپ								
RECI	EDT#	AMOUNT	APPLYDNG		нио	t!		маст. л	поте			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

		LASTERN DISTRICT OF PE 19 appropriate calendar.	NINSYLMANIA – DBSIGNATION POR	timi go be used by (CO'AT.\$1	ol to indicate the ea	tegory of the case for the purpose of	
ΑЈат	ess of	Plaintiff 1505 Walnut Ste	et, Contesville, PA 19320					
Λddτ	ess of	Defendant <u>7701 Fersyth B</u>	lvd. St.Locis, MO 63105					
PER	ol'Ac	cident, Incident or Transaction	AGC Chemical of America, Thorne	falc, PA				
				(Use Reverse Side)	for Ad	ditional Space)		
Dags	this of	v1 action it volve a nongoven	invertal conjurate party with any parent	corporation and as	cy put	olicly held corporat	ion owning 10% or more if as stock?	
(Att	tach rw	ro copies of the Disclosure Sta	terrent Form in accordance with Fed.R.(Civ P. 8.1(a))		Yes 🗌	Nc ⊠	
·· · •								
		ass involve multi dstrict liegad D CASE, IF ANY:	on possibil ties?			Yes 🗌	Nc ⊠	
Сако	Mumb	es. 2:00-CV-3742	Audge Legreme D. Davis		Date 1	Terminated		
Cvil	cases	am desired related when was a	s arewered to any of the following quest	ions:				
		·	,					
1.	la th	as case related to property inc.	uded in an easter numbered suit pendin;	g or within one ye	ar pre			
2.	Doe	s this case involve the same is	sue of fact or grow out of the same trans	action as a prior s	uit ne	Yes 🗍 nding or within one	No ⊠ vear proviously terminated	
		on in fais court?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Yes⊠		
2	T)	ar hair ann an iorradh a' dha an d'Airta	or infringement of a patent already in so	d or a more and incom		r ceco hondino or w	nithin and user powienals	
3.		s this case history, the valury ninated action in this count?	or infringement of a patentialready in si	an or any earner n	ių i jių i	r case jienomg (ir w Yes □		
	LE II	illiadali actioni il diis addit:				: 63 🗀		
CIVI	L: (PL	ace ✓ in ONE CATEGORY	ONLY)					
٨		eral Question Cases:		B.	$D0^{\circ}$	rsit, Jurisdiction Co	RES	
l.		Indermity Contract, Manne	Contract, and All Other Contracts	1.	Ш		et and Other Certracis	
2.		FELA		2.		Airplane Personal		
3.		Jones Act-Personal Injury		3.		Assault, Defamat		
۷.		Antibust		4.				
5.		Patent		5.				
6.		Lapor-Management Relation	is .	6-			jury (Please specify)	
7.	П	(liví Rights		7.	×	-		
8.		Tabeas Compus		R. 9.		Products Liability All other Diversit		
9. 10.	П	Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Case	-	9.		(Flease specify)	y Ciscs	
10.		All other Rejoral Question C				(Ficase apocity)		
١.	П	(Please specify)						
		, 10,000 1,0001,7						
J,	D.adl	cy D. Remick Escuire	ARBITRATION CE) (Check appropriate counsel of icontel de hei	Cangron)	ŊΥ			
" -			1.2. Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my		lio£ m	ie dancemes neonsters	ble in this civil setion gove	
erce		arm of \$150,000 on ecolosive		A-tomicage and oc	11014 61	ic day reaces received	.ore .if 0.18 cts ii pecio i edac	
	_	Relief other chan monetary dan	·					
Y							40.4 4D	
DAT	- د	August 24, 2009	Eradley D. Remie Attorney-at-Law		_		49449 Attorney I.U. #	
			novo will has trial by jury only i			·		
		a), to my knewledge, the wit roled above.	hin case is not related to any case now	hending or with	и ож	; year previously 1	ernmatea action in Illis court	
DA I	·: _	August 24, 2037	Eradicy D. Romio	k	_		47447	
Attorney-at-Law				,			Attorney 1.15.#	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

E-Mail Address

PAU	L PROUDFOOT and	;	CIVIL ACTION		
СВ	RISTY PROUDFOOT, h/w	;			
	v.	:			
ΉAÌ	RBOUR GROUP, ET AL	:			
		:	NO.		
plain filing side desig plain	ecordance with the Civil Justice at iff shall complete a Case Managethe complaint and serve a cope of this form.) In the event togration, that defendant shall, wintiff and all other parties, a case defendant believes the case should	agement Track Designation you all defendants. (See § 1 hat a defendant does not a thrits first appearance, submanagement track designates.)	Form in all civil cases at the 1:03 of the plan set forth on the gree with the plaintiff regard to the clerk of court and ser	e time of te reverse ding said ve on the	
SEL	ECT ONE OF THE FOLLOV	VING CASE MANAGEMI	ENT TRACKS:		
(a)	Habeas Corpus-Cases brought	under 28 U.S.C. §2241throu	gh §2255.	()	
(b)	Social Security-Cases requesting and Human Services denying p			()	
(c)	Arbitration-Cases require to l	e designated for arbitration	under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()	
(d)	Asbestos-Cases involving cla exposure to asbestos.	ims for personal injury or pr	roporty damage from	()	
(c) Special Management-Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special					
	management cases.)			()	
(t)	Standard ManagementCase	s that do not fall into any on	e of the other tracks.	(X)	
_Au; Dat	gust 24, 2009 le	Bradley D. Remick Attorney-at-law	Defendants Attorney for		
215-575-2762		215-575-0856	bdremick@mdweg.com		

FAX Number

Telephone

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PACU PROUDFOOT and CHRISTY PROUDFOCT h/w 1505 Walnut Street

CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiffs,

: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

vs.

Coatesville, PA 19320

HARBOUR GROUP, LTD 7701 Forsyth Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63105

And

ACE, INC. d/b/a ACS GROUP and ACS AUXILIARIES DIVISION 1100 E. Woodfield Road, Suite 588 Schaumburg, IL 60173

And

CUMBERLAND ENGINEERING ENTERPRISES, INC., v4/b/a CUMBERLAND ENGINEERING COMPANY 100 Roddy Avenuc South Autleberg, MA 02703-7951

And

NELMOR CO., INC. 100 Rocdy Avenue South Attlebero, MA 02703-7951

And

ACCRAPAK SYSTEMS LIMITED Burtonwood Industrial Centre Burton Warrington Cheshire, England (UKL) WA54HX

Defendants.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendants, Harbour Group, Ltd., AEC, Inc. d/b/a ACS Group and ACS Auxiliaries. Division, Cumberland Engineering Enterprises, Inc. t/d/b/a Cumberland Engineering Co. and Nelmor Co., Inc. (hereinafter ACS Auxiliary, Inc.), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby notices the removal of this action from the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia. County, where it is now pending, April Term 2009, No. 000966, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 at seq. In support of this removal, defendants aver as follows:

- Plaintiffs, Paul and Christy Proudfoot, initiated this action by filing a Complaint
 in the Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas. Plaintiffs then amended the original
 Complaint to add additional defendants. The Amended Complaint was filed on or about July 23,
 2009.
- 2. A true and correct copy of the Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Plaintiffs served defendants with the Amended Complaint on or about July 30, 2009. This Notice is, therefore, timely.
- A United States District Court has original jurisdiction over a case if the parties' citizenship is completely diverse and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).
- 4. In their Amended Complaint, the Plaintiffs aver that they are citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania residing at 1505 Walnut Street, Coatesville, Pennsylvania. See Exhibit "A."

- Accrapak is an English company with its place of business in Burtonwood
 Warrington, Cheshire, England. Defendant has no place of business within the United States, or specifically, Pennsylvania.
- 6. Defendant Harbor Group, Ltd. ("Harbor") is not a Pennsylvania corporation nor is it located in Pennsylvania. Indeed, Plaintiffs allege that Harbor is "incorporated in a State other than the Commenwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business . . .[in] Missouri," See id.
- 7. Defendants AEC, Inc. d/b/a ACS GROUP and its ACS Auxiliaries Division. ("ACS") is not a Pennsylvania corporation, and it is not located in Pennsylvania. Indeed, Plaintiffs allege that ACS is "incorporated in a State other than the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business . . .[in] Illinois." See id.
- 8. Defendant Cumberland Engineering Enterprises, Inc. t/d/b/a Cumberland Engineering Company ("Cumberland") is not a Pennsylvania corporation nor is it located in Pennsylvania. Indeed, Plaintiffs allege that Cumberland is "incorporated in a State other than the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business . . .[in] Massachusetts." See id.
- 9. Defendant Nelmor Co., Inc. ("Nelmor") is not a Pennsylvania corporation nor is it located in Pennsylvania. Indeed, Plaintiff alleges that Nelmor is "incorporated in a State other than the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business . . .[in]

 Massachusetts." See id.
- 10. Thus, complete diversity existed at the time of the incident giving rise to this litigation and continues to exist.

- The Plaintiffs' Complaint alleges that damages exceed "One Hundred Thousand
 Dollars (\$100,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs." See id.
- 12. Thus, as the Plaintiff's claim damages in excess of \$75,000, the requisite amount in controversy exists for diversity jurisdiction.
 - 13. Moreover, all Defendants have consented to the removal of this action.
- 14. Accordingly, the United States District Courts have original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), and this action is removable to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.
- 15. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), ACS entities will file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Prethonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County and will serve the same on the adverse party.
- 16. By filing this Notice, ACS Auxiliary, Inc. does not waive any defenses or arguments that it has available to it, nor does ACS Auxiliary, Inc. subject itself to the jurisdiction of this or any other Court. ACS Auxiliary, Inc. specifically reserves the right to contest that it is subject to the personal jurisdiction of the Courts of this Commonwealth.

WHEREFORE, ACS Auxiliary, Inc. hereby removes this action presently pending against it in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL, DEMNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & SOCGIN

BY.

BRADLEY D. RÈMICK 1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2600 (215) 575-2762

Counsel for Defendants Harbor, ACS, Cumberland and Nelmor

Consented to by:

BLANK ROME LLP

LAURIE ALBERTS SALL

Attorney I.D. No. 87884

One Logan Square 130 N. 18th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(2.5) 569-5500

Counsel for Accrapak Systems Limited

Disclaimer: By conventing to the removal of this action, Acorapak does not waive any defenses, submit to the jurisdiction of this Court, or otherwise agree that this Court has personal jurisdiction over it.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Bradley D. Remick, Esquire, hereby certily that I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal to be served via First Class Mail to counsel of record addressed as follows:

Richard Jurewicz, Esq. Galfand Berger, LLP 1818 Market Street, Suite 2300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Counsel for Plaintiffs

Laurie Alberts Salita, Esquire
BLANK ROME LLP
One Logan Square
130 North 18th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998
Counsel for Accrapak Systems Limited

a Bradley D. Remick, Esquire

Dated: August 24, 2009

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER,

COLEMAN & GOGGIN

By: Bradley D. Remick, Esquire

I.D. #49449

1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Phone: 215-575-2762

Fax: 215-575-0856

E-Mail: bdremick@mdwcg.com

PAUL PROUDFOOT and CHRISTY PROUDFOOT h/w =

1505 Walnut Street Coatesville, PA 19320

Plaintiffs.

VS.

MARBOUR GROUP, LTD 7701 Forsyth Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63105

And

ACE, INC. d/b/a ACS GROUP and ACS AUXILIARIES DIVISION 1100 E. Woodfield Road, Suite 588 Schaumburg, IL 60173

And

CUMBERLAND ENGINEERING ENTERPRISES, INC., t/d/b/c CUMBERLAND ENGINEERING COMPANY 100 Roddy Avenue South Attleboro, MA 02703-7951

And

NELMOR CO., INC. 100 Roddy Avenue South Attleboro, MA 02703-7951

And

Attorney for Defendants, Harbour Group, AEC, Inc., Cumberland Engineering and Nelmor Co., Inc.

: PHILADELPHIA COUNTY : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

: April Tenn 2009

No. 000966

200200.00001/21792854v.:

:

ACCRAPAK SYSTEMS LIMITED Burtonwood Industrial Centre Burton Warrington Cheshire, England (UKL) WA54HX

Defendants.

TO: THE PROTHONOTARY

AND

Richard M. Jurewicz., Esquire

In compliance with 28 U.S.C. §1446, you are hereby notified that Defendants, Harbour Group, Ltd., AEC, Inc. d/b/a ACS Group and ACS Auxiliaries Division, Cumberland Engineering Enterprises, Inc. and Nelmer Co. has removed this case to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. A true and correct copy of Defendants' Notice of Removal is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

All Defendants have consented to the removal of this action.

By filing this Notice, Defendants do not waive any defenses or arguments that they have available to them, nor do Defendants subject themselves to the jurisdiction of this or any other Court. Defendants specifically reserve the right to contest that it is subject to the personal jurisdiction of the Courts of this Commonwealth.

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY:_

BRADLÉY Ď. REMICK 1845 Walnut Stree. Philadelphia, PA 19103-2600 (215) 5/5-2/62 Counsel for Delendants Harbor, ACS,

Cumberland and Nelmore

Consented to by:

BLANK ROME LLP

LAURIE ALBERTS SALITA

Attorney I.D. No. 87884

One Logar Square 130 N. 18th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 569-5500

Counsel for Accrapak Systems Limited

Disclaimer: By consenting to the removal of this action, Acorapak does not waive any defenses, submit to the jurisdiction of this Court, or otherwise agree that this Court has personal jurisdiction over it.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Bradley D. Remick, Esquire, hereby certify I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal to be served via First Class Mail to counsel of record addressed as follows:

Richard Jurewicz, Esq. Galfand Berger, LLP 1818 Market Street, Suite 2300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Counsel for Plaintiffs

Lauric Alberts Salita, Esquire
BLANK ROME LLP
One Logan Square
130 North 18th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998
Counsel for Accrapak Systems Limited

s/ Bradley D. Remick, Esquire

Dated: August 24, 2009

EXHIBIT "A"

:

GALFAND BERGER, LLP
BY: RICHARD M. JUREWICZ, ESQUIRE
IDENTIFICATION NO.: 39436
1818 Market Street, Suite 2300
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215-665-1600

FAUL PROUDFOOT and CHRISTY PROUDFOOT, h/w 1505 Walnet Street Custosville, PA 19320

Plaintiffs

HARBOUR GROUP, LTD 7701 Forsyth Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63105

٧.

and

AEC, Inc. d/b/a ACS GROUP and ACS Auxiliarles Division 1100 E. Woodfield Road, Suite 588 Schaumburg, Ill 60173

and

CUMBERLAND ENGINEERING
ENTERPRISES, INC., t/d/b/a
CUMBERLAND ENGINEERING COMPANY
100 Roddy Avenue
South Attleboro, MA 02703-7951

und

NELMOR CO., INC. 100 Roddy Avenue South Attleboro, MA 02703-7951

and

THIS IS A MAJOR JURY TRIAL ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES HEARING IS REQUIRED.

Attorney for Plain

COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CIVIL TRIAL DIVISION

MAJOR JURY DEMANDED

April Term: 2009

No.: 00966

AMENDED CIVIL COMPLAINT

ACCRAPAK SYSTEMS LIMITED Burtonwood Industrial Centre Burtonwood Warrington Cheshire, England (UK) WA5 4HX

Defendants

NOTICE

You have been sued in Court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this Complaint and Notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by an atterney and filing in writing with the Court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the Court without further notice for any money claimed in the Complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the Plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

Lawyer Referral Service One Reading Center 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsyivania 19107 (215) 238-6333

AVISO

Le han demandado en la corte. Si usted quiere defenderse de estas demandas expuestas en las paginas aiguiertes, usted tiene veinte (20) dias de plazo de la demanda y notificación para asentar una comparesencia escrita en persona o por su abogado y archivar con la corte en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objectiones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte puede continuar la demanda en contra suya y puede entrar una decision contra usted sin aviso o notificación adicional por la cantidad de dineso de la demanda o por cualquier reclamación hecha por el demandante. Usted puede percer dinero o propiedad u otros derechos importantes para usted.

USTED DEBE DE LLEVAR ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO INMEDIATAMENTE. SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE PARA PAGAR TAL SERVICIO, VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITO ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL.

> ASSOCIACION DE ABOGADOS DE FILADELFIA Servicio De Referencia E Informacion Legal 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor Filadelfia, Pennsylvania 19107 (215) 238-6333

AMENDED COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

- Plaintiffs Paul Proudfoot and Christy Proudfoot are husband and wife, adults and citizens and residents of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania residing therein at 1505 Walnut Street, Coatesville, PA 19320.
- 2. On April 13, 2009 Plaintiffs filed a Civil Action Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania, Civil Action number 090400966 treating the caption of Paul Proudfoot and Christy Proudfoot, b/w v. Accrapek Systems Limited.
- 3. As of the filing of this Amended Complaint, Defendant Accrapsk Systems Limited has not filed an answer to the Civil Action Complaint, so therefore Plaintiffs can file their Amended Complaint as a matter of right and without leave of Court
- Defendant Harbour Group, Ltd., is a foreign corporation, incorporated in a State
 other than the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business at 7701 Forsyth
 Bottlevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63105.
 - Defendant AEC, Inc. does business as ACS Group.
- 6. Defendant AEC, Inc., and its ACS Auxiliaries Division, is a foreign corporation, incorporated in a State other than the Commonwealth of Penasylvania with a principal place of business at 1100 E. Woodfield Road, Suite 588, Schaumburg, III 60173.
- 7. Defendant Cumberland Engineering Enterprises, Inc., t/d/b/a Cumberland Engineering Company is a foreign corporation, incorporated in a State other than the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business at 100 Rockly Avenue, South Attleboro, MA 02703-7951.
- 8. Defendant Nelmor Co., Inc., is a foreign corporation, incorporated in a Sinto other than the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business at 100 Roddy Avenue, South Attleboro, MA, 02703-7951.

- 9. It is believed and therefore averred, that Defendant Netmer Co., Inc., is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant Cumberland Engineering Enterprises, Inc., t/d/b/a Cumberland Engineering Company.
- 10. It is believed and therefore averred, that Defendent Cumberland Engineering Enterprises, Inc., t'd/b/a Cumberland Engineering Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendent AEC, Inc., and its ACS Auxiliaries Division.
- 11. It is believed and therefore averred, that Defendant Cumberland Engineering
 Enterprises, Inc., td/t/a Cumberland Engineering Company and Defendant AEC, Inc., and its
 ACS Auxiliaries Division are wholly owned subsidiaries of Defendant Harbour Group, Ltd.
- 12. Defendants Harbour Group, Ltd., AES, Inc., Cumberland Engineering
 Enterprises, Ltd., and Nelmor Co., Inc., and, shall hereinafter be collectively referred to as the
 "ACS Defendants."
- 13. Based on information and belief, at all times material hereto one or more of the ACS Defendants regularly conducted business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and in Philadelphia County.
- 14. Defendant Accrapak Systems Limited is a foreign corporation incorporated in a State other than the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principle place of business at Burtonwood Industrial Centre, Burtonwood Warrington, Cheshire, England (UK) WA5 4HX.
- Based on information and belief, at all times material hereto Defendant Accrapak.

 Systems Limited conducted business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and in Philadelphia.

 County.
- 16. At all material times, Defendant Accrapak Systems Limited was engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, celling and distributing pelletizor machinery and

equipment for the plastics industry, including but not limited to Dual Drive 900 Strand polletizer and 750 Strand polletizer machines.

- 17. At all times set forth herein, ACS Defendants were regularly engaged in the business of marketing, promoting, lessing and selling and distributing pelletizer machinery and equipment for the plastics industry, including but not limited to Dual Drive 900 Strand pelletizer and 750 Strand pelletizer machines.
- 18. The ACS Defendants are importers and distributors of scrap reclaim systems and equipment including but not limited to palletizers and granulator machinery.
- 19. Defendant ASL is an exporter of scrap reclaim systems to the United States of America. The scrap reclaim systems it exports includes palletizer and granulator machines.
- 20. In exporting its granulator and palletizer machinery to the United States of America, Defendant ASL knows and has reason to know that its exported equipment will be resold by its US importers to end users and purchasers located in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
 - 21. Defendant ASL exports its equipment and machinery to the ACS Defendants.
- The ACS Defendants are importers of equipment and machinery manufactured by Defendant ASL.
- 23 At a time prior to July 24, 2008, Defendant Accrapak Systems Limited designed, manufactured, sold and placed into the stream of commerce by exporting to the United States of America Model Number 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine, Serial Number 10416-11-05.
- 24. Defendant ASL sold the model number 900-6 stand palletizer machine serial number 10416-11-05 to the ACS Defendants, particularly Defendants ACS Auxiliaries, NELMOR and Comberland Engineering Enterprises, Inc.

- 25. Based on information and belief, the aforementioned Model Number 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine was subsequently sold by the ACS Defendants to Plaintiff husband's employer, AGC Chemical of America to be used in AGC's Thomdale, Penasylvania facility.
- 26. Based upon information and belief, Plaintiffs aver that with the design, manufacture and sale of the subject Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine, all Defendants knew, anticipated and expected that preventative maintenance would be done on the machine on a daily, weekly, monthly, bi-yearly and annual basis.
- 27. In particular, based upon information and belief, Plaintiffs aver that when Defendant Accrapak designed and manufactured and ABC Defendants supplied the aforementioned Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine all Defendants were aware, knew or reasonably expected or should have expected that the cutting knife/blade in the machine as we'll as other parts including the lag feed roll and knurled feed roll which move the plastic strands/strings into the cutting knife would have to be serviced, maintained and repaired.
- 28. Based apon information and belief, Plaintiffs aver that Defendant Accrapak
 Systems Limited and AEC Defendants knew, anticipated or should have expected that on
 coeasion maintenance workers and operators would have to manually adjust, remove and/or
 reposition parts including the cutting chamber and feed table tray on the Accrapak palletizer
 machines.
- 29. Included in the design and manufacture of the subject 900-6 Model Strand pelletizer machine were limit switches fitted to the machine hood such that when the main cover was lifted for cleaning or maintenance the machine was supposed to supp.
- 30. On July 24, 2008 in the course and scope of his employment with AGC Chemical of America, Plaintiff Faul Proudfoot was servicing the aforementioned Model 900-6 Strand

pelletizer machine by replacing the criting blade. After being summoned by the machine to remove the operator to investigate a grinding noise, Mr. Proudfoot lifted the lid of the machine to remove the feed tray to access the nature of the problem. While attempting to troubleshoot the problem, Mr. Proudfoot inadvertently hit the start button causing the machine to cycle. His right hand got pulled in between the rollers and into the cutting knife severely lacerating and causing avulsion injuries to his right hand and right index, long and ring fingers suffering serious and permanent injuries described more extensively below.

31. Plaintiff Paul Proudfoot accident and injuries were caused by the defective and unsafe design of the aforementioned Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine.

COUNT I - NEGLIGENCE PLAINTIFF PAUL PROUDFOOT v. DEFENDANT ACCRAPAK SYSTEMS LIMITED

- 32. Flaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every preceding paragraph with the same force and effect as though set forth fully here at length.
 - 33. Defendant's negligence consisted of the following acts and omissions:
 - Failure to design, manufacture, market and self the aforementioned Strand pelletizer machine with due care;
 - Failure to incorporate on the aforementioned Strand pelletizer machine proper and adequate safety features for the product's foreseeable and intended uses and foreseeable misuses;
 - c. Failure to provide proper and adequate warnings and instructions on the use, operation, servicing and maintaining of said machine;
 - d. Failure to provide warnings on the aforementioned machine notifying all
 users, operators and service personnel that the machine could start up at
 any time;
 - e. Failure to provide a proper and reliable fail safe interlock guarding system for the aforementioned pelletizer machine;

- f. Fathere to provide a captive key interlock feature such that once any hids were in the open position all power sources and energy to the machine would be de-energized until the key would be reinserted into the machine;
- g. Failure to provide a visual alarm or indicator that would alert all personnel in the vicinity of the machine when power to the machine was still on;
- h. Failure to minimize to the fullest extent possible the foresecable hazards and risks of injury associated with the design of the aforementioned strand pelletizer machine and particularly any moving parts inside the feed tray area including the rollers and outling knives;
- Fathere to provide every element and safety feature necessary to make this
 product for its reasonably foreseeable and intended uses;
- Failure to provide a protective cover for the control box so that the machine could not be inadvertently or accidentally started;
- Failure to provide a lock out box/receptacle disconnect switch on the machine where the plug/cord was located;
- Failure to provide a magnetic interlock or other limit switch for the feed tray assembly area of the machine;
- m. Providing an extension cord that required the equipment to be locked out and tagged out remotely; and
- r. Failure to provide redundancy electrical safety switch that will not allow machine to run, cycle or be energized unless mechanical rod is adjusted through and into slotted hole of the frame of the lid.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's negligence and the unsafe condition of the aforementioned 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine. Plaintiff Paul Proxifoot suffered the following injuries and damages:
 - Severe laceration to the right hand and fingertips of the index, long and ring fingers,
 - b. Loss of the tips of fingers and nail beds;
 - Damage to the nerves of Plaintiff's index, long and ring fingers causing altered sensation and hypersensitivity;
 - d. Disfigurement;

- e. Great pain, suffering and the loss of life's pleasures, past and future;
- Emptional distress, anxiety and despair, past and future;
- g. Loss of earnings, past and future and lost of earning capacity, and
- Hospital, medical and rehabilitation expenses, past and future.

WHEREFORE, for all of which damages Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant Actrapak Systems Limited in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs.

COUNT II - NEGLIGENCE PLAINTIFF PAUL PROUDFOOT v. AEC DEFENDANTS

- 35. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every preceding paragraph with the same force and effect as though set forth fully here at length.
 - Defendants' negligence consisted of the following acts and umissions:
 - Failure to design, manufacture, market and sell the aforementioned Strand pelletizer machine with due care;
 - b. Failure to incorporate on the aforementioned Strand pelletizer machine proper and adequate safety features for the product's foreseeable and intended uses and foreseeable misuses:
 - Pailure to provide proper and adequate warnings and instructions on the use, operation, servicing and maintaining of said machine;
 - d. Failure to provide warnings on the aforementioned machine notifying all users, operators and service personnel that the machine could start up at any time;
 - e. Failure to provide ε proper and reliable fail safe intertock guarding system for the aforementioned pelletizer machine;
 - f. Failure to provide a captive key interlock feature such that once any lids were in the open position all power sources and energy to the machine would be de-energized until the key would be reinserted into the machine;

- g. Failure to provide a visual alarm or indicator that would alert all personnel in the vicinity of the machine when power to the machine was still on;
- Failure to minimize to the fullest extent possible the foreseeable hazards and risks of injury associated with the design of the aforementioned strand pelletizer machine and particularly any moving parts inside the feed tray area including the rollers and cutting knives;
- Failure to provide every element and safety feature necessary to make this
 product for its reasonably foreseeable and intended uses;
- Failure to provide a protective cover for the control box so that the machine could not be inadvertently or accidentally started;
- Failure to provide a lock out box/receptable disconnect switch on the machine where the plug/cord was located;
- Failure to provide a magnetic interlock or other limit switch for the feed tray assembly area of the machine;
- m. Providing an extension cord that required the equipment to be locked out and tagged out remotely; and
- n. Failure to provide redundancy electrical safety switch that will not allow machine to run, cyclo or be coergized unless mechanical rod is adjusted through and into slotted hole of the frame of the lid.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of the defective condition of the Model 900-6

 Strand pelletizer machine involved in Plaintiff's accident, Plaintiff Paul Proudfoot suffered the injuries and camages set forth in paragraph 34(a) (a) above.

WHEREFORE, for all of which damages Plaintiff demands judgment against Cumberland Defendants and each of them in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs.

COUNT HE - STRICT LIABILITY PLAINTIFF PAUL PROUDFOOT v. DEFENDANT ACCRAPAK SYSEMS LIMITED AND AEC DEFENDANTS

- 38. Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every preceding allegation as though set forth fully here at length as if incorporated hereir, by reference.
- 39. The aforementioned Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine was defective and unsafe when it left the control of all Defendants because it was unsafe for the reasonably foresceable and intended uses.
- 40. The subject Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine caused Piaintiff Paul

 Proudfoot's injuries when he used this product in a reasonably foreseeable and intended use.
- 41. The aforementioned Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine was not equipped with every element necessary to make it safe for is intended and foreseeable uses when sold and placed into the stream of commerce by Defendants.
- 42. The aforementioned Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine was unreasonably dangerous and defective pursuant to the factrines of strict liability as established by Pennsylvania law and Section 402A of the Restatement (Second) of Torts.
- 43 Defendant Accrapak Systems Limited and AEC Defendants are strictly liable for placing into the stream of commerce a defective and unsafe product pursuant to the dootrines of strict liability and Section 402A of the Restatement (Second) of Torts
- As a direct and proximate result of the defective condition of the Model 900-6

 Strand pelletizer machine involved in Plaintiff's accident, Plaintiff Paul Proudioot suffered the injuries and damages set forth in paragraph 34(a) (h) above.

WHEREFORE, for all of which damages Plaintiff demands judgment against all Defendants and each of them in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,300.00) exclusive of interest and costs.

COUNT IV – BREACH OF WARRANTIES PLAINTIFF PAUL PROUDFOOT v. DEFENDANT ACCRAPAK SYSTEMS LIMITED AND AFC DEFENDANTS

- 45. Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs with the same force and effect as if fully set forth here at length.
- 46. At some time prior to July 24, 2008 and well known to all Defendants, all Defendants expressly represented or in some other manner, expressed warranties that the subject Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine and its component parts were safe for use for the purposes intended and were of merchaniable quality.
- 47. At some time prior to July 24, 2008 and well known to Defendants, Defendants represented or warranted by implication that the subject Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine and its component parts were reasonably fit for the purposes intended and were of merchantable quality.
- 48. The representations and warranties set forth in the preceding paragraphs formed part of the bargain for selling the Model 900-6 Strand pelletizer machine in question and was relied upon by Plaintiff-husband and his employer when Defendants sold and/or supplied this product to ACC Chemical of America and when Plaintiff used this product for its intended purpose pursuant to its employment duties.
 - 49. In truth and in fact, the above representation of Defendant was false.

50. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned breach of warranties,
Plaintiff Paul Proudfoot suffered the following injuries and damages set for h in paragraph 34(a)
(1) above.

WHEREFORE, for all of which damages Plaintiff demands judgment against all Defendants and each of them in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs.

COUNT IV LOSS OF CONSORTIUM PLAINTIFF CHRISTY PROUDFOOT v. DEFENDANT ACCRAPAK SYSTEMS LIMITED AND AEC DEFENDANTS

- 51. Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs with the same force and effect as if fully set forth here at length
- 52. On July 24, 2008 Plaintiff-wife Christy Proudfoot was married to Plaintiff-husband Parl Proudfoot.
- 53. In the event that Plaintiff-husband Paul Proudfoot prevails on any of his causes of action against Defendants, Plaintiff-wife Christy Proudfoot is entitled to be compensated for her loss of consortium as a result of Plaintiff-husband's accident and injuries.
- 54. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Paul Proudfoot's accident and injuries, Plaintiff Christy Proudfoot suffered the following injuries and damages:
 - a. Loss of the services, society and conjugal fellowship of Plaintiff-husband and;
 - b. Also Plaintiff-husband's earning assistance.
 - 55. All Defendants are jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs for Plaintiff's accident, injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, for all of which demages Plaintiff Caristy Proudfoot demands judgment against all Defendants and each of them for a sum in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs.

Respectfully submitted, GALFAND BERGER, LLP

BY:

RICHARD M. JUREWICZ, ESQUIRE
Attorney for Plantiffs
Attorney I.D. No.: 39436
1818 Morket Street, Suite 2300
Philadelphia, PA 19163
215-665-6829
rjurewicz@galfandberger.com

VERIFICATION

I hereby affirm that the following facts are correct:

大型的**对表现**,从一直上,对原理上的一种的对象。

I say the Plaint if in the foregoing action and the attached Amended Complaint is based upon information which I have furnished to my counsel and information which has been gathered by my counsel in preparation of my lawshit. The language of the Amended Complaint is that of counsel and not of me. I have read the Amended Complaint and to the extent that the Amended Complaint is based upon information which I have given to my counsel, it is true and correct to the best of my. Innowledge, information and belief. To the extent that the content of the Amended Complain, is that of counsel, I have relied upon counsel in making this Variacation. I hereby acknowledge that the facts set forth in the aforesaid Amended Complaint are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4504 relating to unsworn fulsification is anticorities.

DATE 7-16-09

PAUL PROUDFOOT

DATE:

HRISTY PROUDFOOT